

Socastee High 2601

PE	RFORMANCE '	TRENDS	OVER 4	1-YEAR	PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Excellent	Excellent	N/A
2003 2004	Excellent	Good	N/A

	GE OF UNE OR MORE SUBTESTS O				High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003	
Passed all 3 subtests	70.1	77.3	75.4	74.9	73.1	71.8	
Passed 2 subtests	15.8	13.6	13.3	13.9	15.5	16.2	
Passed 1 subtest	9.2	6.9	8.0	7.1	7.3	7.5	
Passed no subtests	4.9	2.1	2.7	4.0	4.1	3.9	

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS							
	Exit Exam Passage Eligibil Rate by Spring 2003 Scho		Eligibility Scholar	pility for LIFE Goldarships*		Graduation Rate	
All Students	n 370	% 97.8	n 366	% 24.6	n 389	% 86.4	
Gender	0.0	0110		20			
Male	171	95.9	169	24.9	185	81.6	
Female	199	99.5	197	24.4	204	90.7	
Race or Ethnic Group							
African American	35	91.4	33	6.1	35	77.1	
Hispanic	4	I/S	7	14.3	6	83.3	
White	320	98.4	318	25.8	338	87.0	
Other	4	I/S	8	62.5	10	100.0	
Disability Status							
Non-speech disabilities	23	91.3	50	0.0	49	55.1	
Students without disabilities	347	98.3	316	28.5	340	90.9	
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-migrant	21	95.2	366	24.6	0	N/A	
English Proficiency							
Limited English proficient	1	I/S	0	N/A	1	I/S	
Non-LEP	358	97.8	366	24.6	388	86.3	
Lunch Status							
Subsidized meals	58	93.1	15	0.0	75	61.3	
Full-pay meals	303	98.7	351	25.6	314	92.4	
n = number of students on which per	centage is calc	ulated					

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	24.6	19.1		
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	26.2	19.5		
Seniors who met the grade point average	57.1	58.4		

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

Socastee High 2601011

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 1,915)				
Retention rate Attendance rate	3.7% 95.1%	Down from 9.9% Down from 95.3%	6.6% 95.5%	7.3% 95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	13.7% 14.3%	Up from 13.0% Up from 12.8%	10.2% 12.1%	5.1% 12.2%
Older than usual for grade Suspended or expelled	6.8% 2.6%	Down from 7.8% Up from 1.6%	7.2% 2.1%	10.1% 2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	50.1% N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	10.2% N/A
Annual dropout rate	2.2%	Up from 0.8%	2.9%	2.7%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.0%	No change	0.7%	3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology cente courses	r 939	Up from 859	568	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	2.6%	Down from 4.0%	30.0%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	78.6%	Down from 86.1%	76.4%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	100.0%	N/A	100.0%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 104)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	52.9% 85.6%	Up from 48.0% Up from 78.0%	56.8% 84.7%	51.7% 81.8%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A r 89.9%	N/A Up from 87.1%	N/A 87.4%	N/A 85.1%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	95.7% \$42,819	Up from 94.9% Up 3.0%	96.2% \$41,190	95.8% \$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	10.3 days	Up from 9.4 days	10.3 days	10.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio	5.0 33.5 to 1	Up from 4.0 Up from 26.6 to 1	3.0 26.7 to 1	3.0 26.2 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	89.9% \$5,504	Up from 89.4% Down 1.8%	90.7% \$6,076	90.1% \$6,279
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	59.2% Excellent	Up from 57.5% No change	59.4% Excellent	57.8% Excellent
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	76.0% ves	Up from 67.3% N/A	84.9%	87.8%
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.	yes	N/A	yes	yes

* Prior v	ear audited	financial	data	are	reported

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

Socastee High 2601011

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Continuous improvement was again in evidence at Socastee High school for the 2002-2003 school year. While the school and community was elated with the improvement in Socastee's 2001-02 Report Card rating, they were not satisfied and once again focused on improvement startegies.

For the 2002-2003 school year, several strategies were employed to improve student performance. Year-long classes in English and math helped build more solid foundations and enhanced success on standardized tests while building skills needed to succeed across the curriculum. A new tutoring program for at-risk students assigned a teacher, counselor, or administrator to identified 10th graders. Improved monitoring resulted in higher attendance rates and peer mediation resulted in fewer physical confrontations between students.

Results for the 2002-2003 reflect the work of the faculty, staff, and students in their quest for excellence. Socastee's Report Card for 2001-2002 earned a Palmetto's Finest Award. International Baccalaureate scores rankefd at the top of South Carolina schools and the 2002-2003 SAT scores will be the highest in school history. In the arts, great pride came from the Spocastee Singers featured performance at the Lincoln Center in New York City. Drop-out rates remain low and graduation rates are still very close to 100%. This year's safety survey shows improved confidence in school security.Parent conferences increased this year and the e-mail newsletter subscription grew to over 700. The capstone for this year's 366 graduates was the announcement of a new school record scholarship total of \$5.6 million for the Class of 2003.

Socastee High School will be split into two schools for the 2003-2004 school year and, hopefully the reduced size of the student body will give more opportunity for individual attention. The tradition of community pride and academic excellence will continue to drive Socastee High School to new heights in the 2003-2004 school year.

Paul K. Browning, Ed. D. Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS								
	Teachers	Students	Parents					
Number of surveys returned	112	319	88					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	91.8%	67.2%	83.7%					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	96.4%	70.6%	52.9%					
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	78.2%	76.9%	76.7%					

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.